



TITLE:

# A NOTE OF REAL PARTS OF SOME SEMI-HYPONORMAL OPERATORS (Operator Inequalities and Related Area)

AUTHOR(S):

Cho, Muneo; Huruya, Tadas; Kim, Young Ok; Lee, Jun Ik

---

CITATION:

Cho, Muneo ...[et al]. A NOTE OF REAL PARTS OF SOME SEMI-HYPONORMAL OPERATORS (Operator Inequalities and Related Area). 数理解析研究所講究録 2000, 1144: 85-91

ISSUE DATE:

2000-04

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/63913>

RIGHT:

# A NOTE OF REAL PARTS OF SOME SEMI-HYPONORMAL OPERATORS

神奈川大学工学部 長 宗雄 (MUNEO CHŌ)

新潟大学教育人間科学部 古谷 正 (TADASI HURUYA)

成均館大学 (韓国) Young Ok Kim

成均館大学 (韓国) Jun Ik Lee

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be Hilbert space and  $B(\mathcal{H})$  be set of all bounded linear operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then for  $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$

$$T: \text{semi-hyponormal} \iff |T| \geq |T^*|.$$

About semi-hyponormal operators, we have following 3 problems:

$$(1) \quad \operatorname{Re} \sigma(T) = \sigma(\operatorname{Re} T) ?$$

$$(2) \quad \operatorname{conv} \sigma(T) = \overline{W(T)} ?$$

$$(3) \quad \|(T - z)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{1}{d(z, \sigma(T))} \text{ for every } z \notin \sigma(T) ?$$

We have 2 kinds of concrete examples of semi-hyponormal operators.

D. Xia provides interesting examples (see [1],[5]). Let  $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$  be the Hilbert space of all doubly-infinite sequences  $a = \{a_k\}$  of complex numbers such that

---

This research was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Researche (No.09640229)

$\|a\|^2 = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |a_k|^2 < \infty$ , and let  $V$  be the bilateral shift:  $(Va)_k = a_{k-1}$ . Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a Hilbert space and let  $\mathcal{H}$  be the Hilbert space of all doubly-infinite sequences  $x = \{x_k\}$  of elements of  $\mathcal{K}$  such that  $\|x\|^2 = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \|x_k\|^2 < \infty$ . Then we have  $\mathcal{H} = \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathcal{K}$ . Let  $e_m = \{a_k\} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$  such that  $a_m = 1$  and 0's elsewhere. Every  $x = \{x_k\} \in \mathcal{H}$  has the representation  $\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} e_k \otimes x_k$ . Let  $\{A_k\}$  be a doubly-infinite sequence of positive operators on  $\mathcal{K}$  such that  $\{\|A_k\|\}$  is bounded. We define bounded operators  $A$  and  $U$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  by

$$Ae_k \otimes x_k = e_k \otimes A_k x_k, \text{ and } Ue_k \otimes x_k = e_{k+1} \otimes x_k \quad (k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots),$$

respectively. Then  $U$  has the form  $V \otimes id_{\mathcal{K}}$ . Put  $T = UA$ . Such an operator is called an operator valued bilateral weighted shift [3]. If positive operators  $\{A_k\}$  satisfy that  $A_{k+1} \geq A_k$  for every  $k$  and there exists  $j$  such that  $A_{j+1}^2 \not\leq A_j^2$ , then  $T$  is semi-hyponormal but not hyponormal.

Next second example is as follows: Let  $S$  on  $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$  defined by  $S = V(P + I + \frac{1}{2}(V + V^*))$ , where  $P$  denotes the orthogonal projection from  $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$  onto the subspace generated by  $\{e_0, e_1, e_2, \dots\}$ . Xia showed that  $S$  is semi-hyponormal but not hyponormal [8, Chapter 3, Corollary 1.4 ].

## 2. Spectral properties.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $T$  be an operator valued bilateral weighted shift. Then there exists a closed set  $F$  of positive real numbers such that*

$$\sigma(T) = \{z : |z| \in F\}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $|c| = 1$ . By [6, p. 52, Corollary 2]  $T$  and  $cT$  are unitarily equivalent. The proof follows from this property.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $T$  be an operator valued bilateral weighted shift such that  $r(T) = \|T\|$ . Then*

$$\text{conv } \sigma(T) = \overline{W(T)} \quad (\text{i.e., } T \text{ is convexoid.})$$

and

$$\sigma(\operatorname{Re} T) = \operatorname{Re} (\sigma(T)).$$

*Proof.* Let  $x \in \sigma(\operatorname{Re} T)$ . Suppose that  $x \notin \operatorname{Re} \sigma(T)$ . Let  $L$  be the line  $\operatorname{Re} z = x$ . Then  $L$  is disjoint from  $\sigma(T)$ . Suppose that  $\sigma(T)$  is on the left side of  $L$ . There exists  $\varepsilon (> 0)$  such that  $\operatorname{Re} \sigma(T) \leq x - \varepsilon$ . For any complex number  $\lambda = |\lambda|e^{i\theta}$ , we can choose  $z \in \sigma(T)$  such that  $z = \|T\|e^{i\theta}$  by Lemma 1. Since  $(\|T\| + |\lambda|)e^{i\theta} \in \sigma(T + \lambda I)$ , we have

$$r(T + \lambda I) \geq \|T\| + |\lambda| \quad (\geq \|T + \lambda I\|).$$

Hence we have  $r(T + \lambda I) = \|T + \lambda I\|$ , that is,  $T$  is a transaloid. Therefore by [3] or [5, Theorem 6.15.11] we have

$$\operatorname{conv} \sigma(T) = \overline{W(T)}.$$

Thus

$$\operatorname{conv} \sigma(\operatorname{Re} T) = \overline{W(\operatorname{Re} T)} = \operatorname{Re} \overline{W(T)} = \operatorname{Re} \operatorname{conv} \sigma(T) \leq x - \varepsilon.$$

This implies that  $x \leq x - \varepsilon$ , which is a contradiction. We proceed similarly in case  $\sigma(T)$  is on the right side. Therefore  $\sigma(\operatorname{Re} T) \subseteq \operatorname{Re} \sigma(T)$ .

Let  $x \in \operatorname{Re} \sigma(T)$ . By Lemma 1, there exists  $z \in \sigma(T)$  such that  $\operatorname{Re} z = x$  and  $|z| = \|T\|$ . Since  $z$  is a boundary point of  $\sigma(T)$ , there exists a sequence  $\{f_n\}$  of unit vectors such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(T - zI)f_n\| = 0$ . By [5, Lemma 7.5.2], we have that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(T^* - \bar{z}I)f_n\| = 0$ . Hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(\operatorname{Re} T - xI)f_n\| = 0,$$

so that  $\operatorname{Re} \sigma(T) \subseteq \sigma(\operatorname{Re} T)$ . Therefore,  $\operatorname{Re} \sigma(T) = \sigma(\operatorname{Re} T)$ .

In general, it holds that if  $T$  is semi-hyponormal, then  $r(T) = \|T\|$ . Hence we have

**Corollary 3.** *Let  $T$  be a semi-hyponormal operator valued bilateral weighted shift. Then*

$$\operatorname{conv} \sigma(T) = \overline{W(T)} \text{ and } \sigma(\operatorname{Re} T) = \operatorname{Re}(\sigma(T)).$$

**Theorem 4.** *With the notations in the introduction, let  $S = V(P + I + \frac{1}{2}(V + V^*))$ . Then we have*

$$\sigma(\operatorname{Re} S) = \operatorname{Re} \sigma(S).$$

*Proof.* It  $F$  is a proper closed subset of  $[0, 2\pi]$  such that  $m([0, 2\pi]) = m(F)$ . Since  $[0, 2\pi] - F$  contains an open interval  $(a, b)$ , we have  $m([0, 2\pi]) - m(F) \geq m((a, b)) > 0$ . This is a contradiction. Hence there exists no proper closed set  $F$  such that  $m(F) = m([0, 2\pi])$ . Applying [8, Chapter 4, Example 4.1] with  $\alpha(\cdot) = 1$  and  $\beta(\cdot) = 1 + \cos \theta$ , we have that

$$\sigma(S) = \{e^{i\theta}(1 + \cos \theta + k) : 0 \leq k \leq 1, 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi\}.$$

Hence  $\operatorname{Re} \sigma(S) = \{(1 + k)\cos \theta + \cos^2 \theta : 0 \leq k \leq 1, 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi\} = [-1, 3]$ . Since  $S$  is semi-hyponormal, it holds that  $\sigma_a(S) = \sigma_{na}(S)$ . Hence we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \sigma(S) \subseteq \sigma(\operatorname{Re} S).$$

Next we will prove that  $\sigma(\operatorname{Re} S) \subseteq [-1, 3]$ . First by the definition of  $S$ , we have  $\|\operatorname{Re} S\| \leq \|S\| \leq 3$ . Since  $\operatorname{Re} S$  is convexoid, we may only prove  $(\operatorname{Re} S) + I \geq 0$ .

Since  $\operatorname{Re} S$  can be canonically represented by a matrix form with real components, for  $\lambda \in \sigma(\operatorname{Re} S)$  we choose a sequence  $\{f_m\}$  of unit vectors in  $\ell^2(\mathbf{Z})$  with real components such that  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|((\operatorname{Re} S) - \lambda I)f_m\| = 0$ . Since

$$2\operatorname{Re} S = (V + V^*) + \frac{1}{2}(V^2 + V^{*2}) + (VP + PV^*) + VV^*,$$

we have, for  $f = (\alpha_n)$  with all  $\alpha_n \in \mathbf{R}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} 2(((\operatorname{Re} S) + I)f, f) &= ((V + V^*)f, f) + \frac{1}{2}((V^2 + V^{*2})f, f) \\ &\quad + ((VP + PV^*)f, f) + (VV^*f, f) + 2(f, f) \\ &= 2 \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha_n \alpha_{n+1} + \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha_n \alpha_{n+2} + 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n \alpha_{n+1} + 3 \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha_n^2 \\ &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha_n \alpha_{n+2} + 4 \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha_n \alpha_{n+1} \end{aligned}$$

$$- 2 \sum_{n=-\infty}^{-1} \alpha_n \alpha_{n+1} + 3 \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha_n^2.$$

If we can choose a sequence  $\{(a_n, b_n, c_n)\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$  of triplets of positive numbers satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} 2(((\operatorname{Re} S) + I)f, f) &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (a_n \alpha_n + b_n \alpha_{n+1} + c_n \alpha_{n+2})^2 \\ &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (a_{n+2}^2 + b_{n+1}^2 + c_n^2) \alpha_n^2 + 2 \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (a_n b_n + b_{n-1} c_{n-1}) \alpha_n \alpha_{n+1} \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (a_n c_n) \alpha_n \alpha_{n+2}, \end{aligned}$$

then we have  $(\operatorname{Re} S) + I \geq 0$  and we hence can finish the proof.

For  $n \geq -1$ , since

$$(i) \ a_{n+2}^2 + b_{n+1}^2 + c_n^2 = 3, \quad (ii) \ 2(a_{n+1} b_{n+1} + b_n c_n) = 4 \quad \text{and} \quad (iii) \ 2a_n c_n = 1,$$

we define

$$a_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad b_n = \sqrt{2} \quad \text{and} \quad c_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

For  $n \leq -2$ , since

$$(i) \ a_{n+2}^2 + b_{n+1}^2 + c_n^2 = 3, \quad (ii) \ 2(a_{n+1} b_{n+1} + b_n c_n) = 2 \quad \text{and} \quad (iii) \ 2a_n c_n = 1,$$

inductively we define, in the following order:

$$c_n = \sqrt{3 - a_{n+2}^2 - b_{n+1}^2}, \quad b_n = \frac{1 - a_{n+1} b_{n+1}}{c_n} \quad \text{and} \quad a_n = \frac{1}{2c_n}.$$

For a definition of  $c_n$ , we need to check that  $3 > a_{n+2}^2 + b_{n+1}^2$ . We calculate

$$\begin{array}{lll} c_{-2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, & b_{-2} = 0, & a_{-2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ c_{-3} = \sqrt{\frac{5}{2}}, & b_{-3} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}}, & a_{-3} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{10}} \\ c_{-4} = \sqrt{\frac{21}{10}}, & b_{-4} = 4\sqrt{\frac{2}{105}}, & a_{-4} = \sqrt{\frac{5}{42}} \\ c_{-5} = \sqrt{\frac{109}{42}}, & b_{-5} = 17\sqrt{\frac{2}{2289}}, & a_{-5} = \sqrt{\frac{21}{218}} \\ c_{-6} = \sqrt{\frac{573}{218}}, & b_{-6} = 92\sqrt{\frac{2}{62457}} \quad \text{and} \quad & a_{-6} = \sqrt{\frac{109}{1146}} \end{array}$$

Then we have that

$$1.61 \leq c_{-5}, c_{-6} \leq 1.64, \quad 0.50 \leq b_{-5}, b_{-6} \leq 0.53, \quad 0.30 \leq a_{-5}, a_{-6} \leq 0.32,$$

$$1.64 \leq \sqrt{3 - 0.53^2 - 0.32^2} \leq c_{-7} \leq \sqrt{3 - 0.50^2 - 0.30^2} \leq 1.64,$$

$$0.50 \leq \frac{1 - 0.53 \times 0.32}{1.64} \leq b_{-7} \leq \frac{1 - 0.50 \times 0.30}{1.61} \leq 0.53$$

and

$$0.30 \leq \frac{1}{2 \cdot 1.64} \leq a_{-7} \leq \frac{1}{2 \cdot 1.61} \leq 0.32.$$

Thus we can define  $c_n, b_n$  and  $a_n$  for  $n \leq -8$ . This completes the proof.

By a similar argument in Theorem 2, we have that  $\text{Im } \sigma(T) = \sigma(\text{Im } T)$  for  $T$  of Theorem 2. In the proof of Theorem 4 we regarded  $\text{Re } S$  as an infinite matrix with real components.

## References

- [1] M. Chō and H. Jin, *p-hyponormal operators*, Nihonkai Math. J. **6**(1995), 201-206.
- [2] M. Chō, I. S. Hwang and J. I. Lee, *On spectral properties of log-hyponormal operators*, preprint (1999).
- [3] K.F. Clancey, *Seminormal operators*, Springer Lecture Notes in Math. No. 742, 1979.
- [4] T. Furuta and R. Nakamoto, *On the numerical range of an operator*, Proc. Japan Acad. **47**(1971), 279-284.
- [5] V.I. Istrăţescu, *Introduction to linear operator Theory*, Dekker, New York, 1981.
- [6] C. Pearcy, *Topics in operator theory*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1974.

- [7] C.R. Putnam, *Commutation properties of Hilbert space operators and related Topics*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, New York, 1967.
- [8] D. Xia, *Spectral theory of hyponormal operators*, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1983.

Muneo Chō

Department of Mathematics, Kanagawa University, Yokohama 221-8686, Japan

Tadasi Huruya

Faculty of Education and Human Sciences, Niigata University, Niigata 950-2181, Japan

Young Ok Kim and Jun Ik Lee

Department of Mathematics, Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon 440-764, Korea